

Fiscal Note 2009 Biennium

HB0466			Title: Rev	Title: Revise criminal accountability				
D.: C	I I Dial.		C4-4					
Primary Sponsor:	Jore, Rick		Status: As I	ntroduced				
☐ Significant Local Gov Impact		✓ Needs to be included in HB 2		☐ Technical Concerns				
☐ Included in the Executive Budget ☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts ☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Atta					orm Attached			
FISCAL SUMMARY								
		FY 2008 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2009 Difference	FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>			
Expenditures:								
General Fund		\$96,390	\$128,520	\$128,520	\$128,520			
Revenue:								
General Fund		\$2,531	\$3,375	\$3,375	\$3,375			
Net Impact-Gei	neral Fund Balance	(\$93,859)	(\$125,145)	(\$125,145)	(\$125,145)			

Description of Fiscal Impact:

This bill would extend accountability for the crimes of vehicular homicide and negligent vehicular assault to passengers in the car that caused the accident, unless the passenger files a timely report of the accident. Impact on the general fund is due to increased prisoner per diem costs.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

Department of Justice (DOJ) – Montana Highway Patrol (MHP)

- 1. There were 35 persons charged for misdemeanor vehicular assault and 22 persons charged for felony vehicular assault in Montana in 2006. The MHP charged 11 persons for negligent or vehicular homicide in 2006.
- 2. The MHP is responsible for at least half of all traffic investigations and case filings in Montana.
- 3. The assumption is that half of the situations that involve the MHP will involve more than one person, who will be affected as stated in the bill.
- 4. Therefore, the MHP anticipates charging nine (35 x $\frac{1}{2}$ MHP responsibility x $\frac{1}{2}$ would involve 2^{nd} party) people with misdemeanors and six (22 x $\frac{1}{2}$ MHP responsibility x $\frac{1}{2}$ half would involve 2^{nd} party) with felonies per year should this measure pass.

- 5. Fines for the misdemeanors are expected to average \$750 per charge. Half of the fine collected goes to the state general fund, and half goes to the county in which the charge is filed. The general fund will see a benefit of \$375 per misdemeanor citation should the person be convicted, total benefit would be \$3,375.
- 6. For felony violations of this statute, the MHP assumes that the judges will assess jail time in lieu of fines.
- 7. On the misdemeanor charges, the MHP assumes that the persons convicted will spend 90 days in the county jail at an average of \$68.00 per day, for a negative impact to the general fund of \$55,080 per year (\$68 per day * 9 people * 90 days).
- 8. On the felony charges, the MHP assumes that the persons convicted will spend 6 months in the county jail from the time of arrest to the time they are transferred to the custody of the Department of Corrections or some other kind of penalty is levied upon them. This will be at an average rate of \$68.00 per day. Total negative impact to the general fund under this assumption would be \$73,440 per year (\$68 per day * 6 people * 180 days). Jail time would vary, and could be anywhere from 3 months (\$36,720/yr.), 6 months (\$73,440/yr.), 9 months (\$110,160/yr.) to a year (\$148,920).
- 9. None of the assumptions include any unexpected medical costs that prisoners may incur while the responsibility of the MHP in county jail, nor are potential future negotiated increases in prisoner per diem included.
- 10. These assumptions do not include anyone being held non-accountable for timely reporting.
- 11. First year figures are based on an October 1, 2007 effective date.

Department of Corrections

- 12. The department is unable to determine if any of the persons convicted of these new crimes would be sentenced to prison, and if so for how long.
- 13. For information purposes, the general fund cost for the incarceration of a male inmate is approximately \$27,696 per year and the cost of incarceration of a female inmate is approximately \$29,178 per year. The cost would be slightly lower if convicted felons were placed on Intensive Supervision at approximately \$2,789 per year and probation would be approximately \$1,464 per year.

FY 2008 Difference	FY 2009 Difference	FY 2010 Difference	FY 2011 Difference				
\$96,390	\$128,520	\$128,520	\$128,520				
\$96,390	\$128,520	\$128,520	\$128,520				
\$2,531	\$3,375	\$3,375	\$3,375				
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures): General Fund (01) (\$93,859) (\$125,145) (\$125,145) (\$125,145)							
	\$96,390 \$96,390 \$2,531	Difference Difference \$96,390 \$128,520 \$96,390 \$128,520 \$2,531 \$3,375 Revenue minus Funding of Expenditure	Difference Difference \$96,390 \$128,520 \$96,390 \$128,520 \$2,531 \$3,375 Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):				

Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:

	Local	Governments	would al	so see ad	ded exp	enditures	due to	increased	l jail	popula	tion.
--	-------	-------------	----------	-----------	---------	-----------	--------	-----------	--------	--------	-------

		<u> </u>	
Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date